



US009079554B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Westra et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,079,554 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Jul. 14, 2015**

(54) **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR VEHICLE
HARDWARE THEFT PREVENTION**

B60R 25/241 (2013.01); *B60R 2325/202*
(2013.01); *G06F 21/31* (2013.01); *H04L*
2209/84 (2013.01)

(71) Applicant: **Ford Global Technologies, LLC,**
Dearborn, MI (US)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
None
See application file for complete search history.

(72) Inventors: **Michael Raymond Westra**, Plymouth,
MI (US); **Chad Evert Esselink**, Canton,
MI (US); **Uwe Zank**, Pulheim (DE);
Simon John Hurr, Grays Essex (GB)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

(73) Assignee: **Ford Global Technologies, LLC,**
Dearborn, MI (US)

4,543,569 A 9/1985 Karlstrom
5,081,667 A 1/1992 Drori et al.
5,467,070 A 11/1995 Drori et al.

(Continued)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 78 days.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(21) Appl. No.: **14/071,704**

CN 1834832 A 9/2006
CN 1863052 11/2006

(22) Filed: **Nov. 5, 2013**

(Continued)

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0058631 A1 Feb. 27, 2014

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Chinese Patent and Trademark Office, First Office Action for the
corresponding Chinese Patent Application No. 201210280045.X
mailed Dec. 31, 2014.

(Continued)

Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 13/206,094, filed on Aug.
9, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,849,519.

Primary Examiner — Jason Holloway

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Jennifer M. Stec; Brooks
Kushman P.C.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

B60R 25/01 (2013.01)
B60R 25/102 (2013.01)
B60R 25/00 (2013.01)
B60R 25/20 (2013.01)
G06F 21/44 (2013.01)
G06F 21/88 (2013.01)
B60R 25/24 (2013.01)
G06F 21/31 (2013.01)


(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **B60R 25/01** (2013.01); **B60R 25/00**
(2013.01); **B60R 25/102** (2013.01); **B60R**
25/2018 (2013.01); **B60R 25/24** (2013.01);
G06F 21/44 (2013.01); **G06F 21/88** (2013.01);

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A computer-implemented method includes determining that
an infotainment system has been activated. The method fur-
ther includes accessing a vehicle network containing at least
a unique vehicle identifier. The method additionally includes
comparing the unique vehicle identifier to a stored vehicle
identifier. The method further includes permitting access to
the infotainment system only if the unique vehicle identifier
matches the stored vehicle identifier.

12 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,513,107	A	4/1996	Gormley	2002/0031228	A1	3/2002	Karkas et al.
5,627,510	A	5/1997	Yuan	2002/0096572	A1	7/2002	Chene et al.
5,635,916	A	6/1997	Bucholtz et al.	2002/0097145	A1	7/2002	Tumey et al.
5,655,081	A	8/1997	Bonnell et al.	2003/0004730	A1	1/2003	Yuschik
5,734,336	A	3/1998	Smithline	2003/0055643	A1	3/2003	Woestemeyer et al.
5,776,031	A	7/1998	Minowa et al.	2003/0079123	A1	4/2003	Mas Ribes
5,828,319	A	10/1998	Tonkin et al.	2003/0217148	A1	11/2003	Mullen et al.
5,874,889	A	2/1999	Higdon et al.	2003/0220725	A1	11/2003	Harter, Jr. et al.
5,959,540	A	9/1999	Walter	2003/0231550	A1	12/2003	Macfarlane
6,018,291	A	1/2000	Marble et al.	2004/0046452	A1	3/2004	Suyama et al.
6,133,825	A	10/2000	Matsuoka	2004/0073367	A1	4/2004	Altan et al.
6,177,866	B1	1/2001	O'Connell	2004/0088205	A1	5/2004	Geisler et al.
6,198,996	B1	3/2001	Berstis	2004/0124968	A1	7/2004	Inada et al.
6,263,282	B1	7/2001	Vallancourt	2004/0176906	A1	9/2004	Matsubara et al.
6,268,804	B1	7/2001	Janky et al.	2004/0227642	A1	11/2004	Giles et al.
6,271,745	B1	8/2001	Anzai et al.	2004/0236475	A1	11/2004	Chowdhary
6,282,226	B1	8/2001	Furukawa	2005/0021597	A1	1/2005	Derasmo et al.
6,434,455	B1	8/2002	Snow et al.	2005/0033517	A1	2/2005	Kondoh et al.
6,434,486	B1	8/2002	Studt et al.	2005/0125110	A1	6/2005	Potter et al.
6,438,491	B1	8/2002	Farmer	2005/0134115	A1	6/2005	Betts, Jr. et al.
6,539,078	B1	3/2003	Hunt et al.	2005/0177635	A1	8/2005	Schmidt et al.
6,574,734	B1	6/2003	Colson et al.	2005/0190039	A1	9/2005	Aoyama
6,590,495	B1	7/2003	Behbehani	2005/0193212	A1	9/2005	Yuhara
6,668,221	B2	12/2003	Harter, Jr. et al.	2005/0261816	A1	11/2005	DiCrocce et al.
6,679,702	B1	1/2004	Rau	2006/0056663	A1	3/2006	Call
6,690,260	B1	2/2004	Ashihara	2006/0142917	A1	6/2006	Goudy
6,731,195	B2 *	5/2004	Nemoto	2006/0150197	A1	7/2006	Werner
6,737,963	B2	5/2004	Gutta et al.	2006/0156315	A1	7/2006	Wood et al.
6,754,562	B2	6/2004	Strege et al.	2006/0202799	A1 *	9/2006	Zambo et al. 340/5.72
6,785,542	B1	8/2004	Blight et al.	2006/0220904	A1	10/2006	Jarlengrip
6,810,309	B2	10/2004	Sadler et al.	2006/0250224	A1	11/2006	Steffel et al.
6,853,919	B2	2/2005	Kellum	2006/0293813	A1	12/2006	Nou
6,859,718	B2	2/2005	Fritz et al.	2007/0027595	A1	2/2007	Nou
6,871,145	B2	3/2005	Altan et al.	2007/0050854	A1	3/2007	Cooperstein et al.
6,906,619	B2	6/2005	Williams et al.	2007/0072616	A1	3/2007	Irani
6,927,676	B2	8/2005	Edens et al.	2007/0100514	A1	5/2007	Park
6,941,194	B1	9/2005	Dauner et al.	2007/0103339	A1	5/2007	Maxwell et al.
7,057,501	B1	6/2006	Davis	2007/0255568	A1	11/2007	Pennock
7,075,409	B2	7/2006	Guba	2008/0070616	A1	3/2008	Yun
7,102,496	B1	9/2006	Ernst, Jr. et al.	2008/0109653	A1	5/2008	Yokohama
7,124,027	B1	10/2006	Ernst, Jr. et al.	2008/0148374	A1	6/2008	Spaur et al.
7,131,005	B2 *	10/2006	Levenson et al. 713/170	2008/0150683	A1	6/2008	Mikan et al.
7,148,790	B2	12/2006	Aoyama et al.	2008/0275604	A1 *	11/2008	Perry et al. 701/33
7,161,563	B2	1/2007	Vitale et al.	2009/0030605	A1	1/2009	Breed
7,173,903	B2	2/2007	Remboski et al.	2009/0045928	A1	2/2009	Rao et al.
7,194,069	B1	3/2007	Jones et al.	2009/0096596	A1	4/2009	Sultan et al.
7,207,041	B2	4/2007	Elson et al.	2009/0167524	A1	7/2009	Chesnutt et al.
7,228,213	B2	6/2007	Sakai et al.	2009/0184800	A1	7/2009	Harris
7,246,062	B2	7/2007	Knott et al.	2009/0190735	A1	7/2009	Gilmartin et al.
7,266,438	B2	9/2007	Kellum et al.	2009/0195370	A1	8/2009	Huffman et al.
7,312,691	B2 *	12/2007	Zambo et al. 340/5.72	2009/0275281	A1	11/2009	Rosen
7,337,113	B2	2/2008	Nakagawa et al.	2009/0287499	A1 *	11/2009	Link, II 705/1
7,340,332	B2	3/2008	Underdahl et al.	2009/0309709	A1	12/2009	Bevacqua et al.
7,356,394	B2	4/2008	Burgess	2010/0004818	A1	1/2010	Phelan
7,366,892	B2	4/2008	Spaur et al.	2010/0007479	A1	1/2010	Smith
7,375,620	B2	5/2008	Balbale et al.	2010/0013596	A1	1/2010	Kakiwaki
7,391,305	B2	6/2008	Knoll et al.	2010/0030458	A1	2/2010	Coughlin
7,484,008	B1	1/2009	Gelvin et al.	2010/0039224	A1	2/2010	Okude et al.
7,565,230	B2	7/2009	Gardner et al.	2010/0057586	A1	3/2010	Chow
7,602,782	B2	10/2009	Doviak et al.	2010/0075656	A1	3/2010	Howarter et al.
7,783,475	B2	8/2010	Neuberger et al.	2010/0097178	A1	4/2010	Pisz et al.
7,812,712	B2	10/2010	White et al.	2010/0148923	A1	6/2010	Takizawa
7,862,945	B2	1/2011	Saito et al.	2010/0178872	A1	7/2010	Alrabady et al.
8,050,817	B2	11/2011	Moinzadeh et al.	2010/0191535	A1	7/2010	Berry et al.
8,050,863	B2	11/2011	Trepagnier et al.	2010/0191973	A1	7/2010	Huntzicker et al.
8,089,339	B2	1/2012	Mikan et al.	2010/0228404	A1 *	9/2010	Link et al. 701/1
8,232,864	B2	7/2012	Kakiwaki	2010/0321203	A1	12/2010	Tieman et al.
8,237,554	B2	8/2012	Miller et al.	2011/0009107	A1	1/2011	Guba et al.
8,258,939	B2	9/2012	Miller et al.	2011/0071720	A1	3/2011	Schondorf et al.
8,301,108	B2	10/2012	Naboulsi	2011/0071725	A1	3/2011	Kleve et al.
8,305,189	B2	11/2012	Miller et al.	2011/0071734	A1	3/2011	Van Wiemeersch et al.
8,311,722	B2	11/2012	Zhang et al.	2011/0102146	A1	5/2011	Giron
8,798,852	B1 *	8/2014	Chen et al. 701/32.6	2011/0105097	A1	5/2011	Tadayon et al.
2001/0021891	A1	9/2001	Kusafuka et al.	2011/0106374	A1	5/2011	Margol et al.
2002/0013650	A1	1/2002	Kusafuka et al.	2011/0112969	A1	5/2011	Zaid et al.
				2011/0148574	A1	6/2011	Simon et al.
				2011/0166748	A1	7/2011	Schneider et al.
				2011/0213629	A1	9/2011	Clark et al.
				2011/0215921	A1	9/2011	Ben Ayed et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2011/0275321	A1	11/2011	Zhou et al.
2011/0295444	A1	12/2011	Westra et al.
2012/0041633	A1	2/2012	Schunder et al.
2012/0054036	A1	3/2012	Nam et al.
2012/0071140	A1	3/2012	Oesterling et al.
2012/0139760	A1	6/2012	Bevacqua et al.
2012/0157069	A1	6/2012	Elliott et al.
2012/0280786	A1	11/2012	Miller et al.
2012/0284702	A1	11/2012	Ganapathy et al.
2012/0293317	A1	11/2012	Hanna et al.
2012/0313768	A1	12/2012	Campbell et al.
2013/0005302	A1	1/2013	Ozaki
2013/0162421	A1	6/2013	Inaguma et al.
2013/0200999	A1	8/2013	Spodak et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN	101596895	A	12/2009
DE	102007046270	A1	4/2009
EP	0449471		10/1991
EP	0971463		1/2000
EP	1095527		5/2001
JP	H04233892	A	8/1992
JP	2008195253		8/2008
JP	200803630		12/2008
KR	20110081577	A	2/2013
RU	2189912	C2	9/2002
WO	WO0125572	A1	4/2001
WO	2009158469		12/2009
WO	2011047056	A1	4/2011
WO	2012015403		2/2012

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Autobiometrics, Com, US Distributor for ATRD Biometric Immobilizer, <http://www.autobiometrics.com>, Jul. 6, 2011.

SALES@usasupremetech.com, In the U.S. a Car is Stolen Every 26 Seconds, The Wave of the Future, Biometrics Authentication, An Introduction.

Ford Motor Company, "SYNC with Navigation System," Owner's Guide Supplement, SYNC System Version 1 (Jul. 2007).

Ford Motor Company, "SYNC," Owners's Guide Supplement, SYNC System Version 1 (Nov. 2007).

Ford Motor Company, "SYNC with Navigation System," Owner's Guide Supplement, SYNC System Version 2 (Oct. 2008).

Ford Motor Company, "SYNC," Owner's Guide Supplement, SYNC System Version 2 (Oct. 2008).

Ford Motor Company, "SYNC with Navigation System," Owner's Guide Supplement, SYNC System Version 3 (Jul. 2009).

Ford Motor Company, "SYNC," Owner's Guide Supplement, SYNC System Version 3 (Aug. 2009).

Kermit Whitfield, "A hitchhiker's guide to the telematics ecosystem," Automotive Design & Production, Oct. 2003, <http://findarticles.com>, pp. 103.

Driver Focus—Telematics Working Group, Statement of Principles, Criteria and Verification Procedures on Driver Interactions with Advanced In-Vehicle Information and Communications Systems, Including 2006 Updated Sections, Jun. 26, 2006.

The Federal Service for Intellectual Property Patents and Trademarks, Decision on Granting a Patent for Invention for the corresponding Russian Application No. 2012134107/08, Filed Aug. 9, 2012 dated Mar. 4, 2015.

* cited by examiner








Fig-1

**Fig-2****Fig-3**

**Fig-4****Fig-5**

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR VEHICLE HARDWARE THEFT PREVENTION

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a division of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/206,094 filed Aug. 9, 2011, now U.S. Patent No. 8,849,519, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated in its entirety by reference herein.

TECHNICAL FIELD

The illustrative embodiments generally relate to a method and apparatus for vehicle hardware theft prevention.

BACKGROUND

Vehicle security is a constant source of concern to owners of vehicles. From vehicle alarms, to vehicle tracking systems, numerous security measures have been developed over the years to provide protection to owners and to prevent theft.

Vehicle security systems often will produce a loud noise or alarm if the vehicle is compromised or tampered with. These alarms are designed as a deterrent, and can alert bystanders to the presence of a thief, and may serve to scare the thief away before a crime is completed. Such systems can also help reduce insurance rates and may be required to obtain the most desirable rates.

Some security systems can even alert an owner of a potential theft, or alert authorities. Due to the fact that an alerted party may take some time to arrive at the vehicle, however, theft may be complete by the time the notified party has arrived.

In some instances, theft deterrent systems may even track the location of a vehicle. This can assist in capture of a thief, and recovery of the vehicle if the vehicle itself has been stolen. If vehicle components, such as a stereo, navigation system, entertainment system, etc. are stolen, however, the tracking device may be unable to provide a vehicle location.

These components, once they have been taken from the vehicle, stand very little chance of recovery unless the thief is captured or a storehouse is found. Once they have been sold and installed into different vehicles, it may almost be impossible to discover the components and recover them. As such, if the thief acts quickly enough the thief may be able to remove some or all of the valuable components and escape. If an alarm system did not provide sufficient deterrent, there may be little else that can be done to deter a determined thief from stealing a component.

SUMMARY

In a first illustrative embodiment, a computer-implemented method includes determining that an infotainment system has been activated. The illustrative method further includes accessing a vehicle network containing at least a unique vehicle identifier.

In this embodiment, the illustrative method additionally includes comparing the unique vehicle identifier to a stored vehicle identifier. The illustrative method further includes permitting access to the infotainment system only if the unique vehicle identifier matches the stored vehicle identifier.

In a second illustrative embodiment, a computer readable storage medium, stores instructions that, when executed,

cause a processor of a vehicle computing system to perform the method including determining that an infotainment system has been activated.

The illustrative method further includes accessing a vehicle network containing at least a unique vehicle identifier and comparing the unique vehicle identifier to a stored vehicle identifier. Also, the illustrative method includes permitting access to the infotainment system only if the unique vehicle identifier matches the stored vehicle identifier.

In a third illustrative embodiment, a system includes a vehicle computing system and at least one module running on the vehicle computing system. In this embodiment, the module is operable to access a vehicle network to determine if a unique vehicle identifier is present. The module is further operable to compare the unique vehicle identifier to a stored vehicle identifier. Also, the module is operable to permit access to the infotainment system only if the unique vehicle identifier matches the stored vehicle identifier.

In a fourth illustrative embodiment, a computer-implemented method includes determining that a requested upload corresponds to a procedure for unlocking a locked vehicle infotainment system. In this illustrative embodiment, the method includes verifying the authenticity of the requested upload. The illustrative method also includes activating a verified upload to unlock the locked vehicle infotainment system.

Also, in this embodiment, the method includes, responsive to the unlocking, deleting a VIN associated with the locked vehicle infotainment system. The method further includes obtaining a new VIN for association with the vehicle infotainment system, the new VIN being available on a vehicle bus in which the infotainment system is installed, and corresponding to the vehicle's VIN. Further, the method includes saving the new VIN as a VIN which must be detected upon system startup to prevent relocking of the vehicle infotainment system.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 shows an illustrative example of a vehicle computing system;

FIG. 2 shows an illustrative example of a verification process;

FIG. 3 shows an illustrative example of an unlock process;

FIG. 4 shows an illustrative example of VIN pairing process; and

FIG. 5 shows an illustrative example of a VIN recording process.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

As required, detailed embodiments of the present invention are disclosed herein; however, it is to be understood that the disclosed embodiments are merely exemplary of the invention that may be embodied in various and alternative forms. The figures are not necessarily to scale; some features may be exaggerated or minimized to show details of particular components. Therefore, specific structural and functional details disclosed herein are not to be interpreted as limiting, but merely as a representative basis for teaching one skilled in the art to variously employ the present invention.

FIG. 1 illustrates an example block topology for a vehicle based computing system 1 (VCS) for a vehicle 31. An example of such a vehicle-based computing system 1 is the SYNC system manufactured by THE FORD MOTOR COMPANY. A vehicle enabled with a vehicle-based computing system may contain a visual front end interface 4 located in

the vehicle. The user may also be able to interact with the interface if it is provided, for example, with a touch sensitive screen. In another illustrative embodiment, the interaction occurs through, button presses, audible speech and speech synthesis.

In the illustrative embodiment 1 shown in FIG. 1, a processor 3 controls at least some portion of the operation of the vehicle-based computing system. Provided within the vehicle, the processor allows onboard processing of commands and routines. Further, the processor is connected to both non-persistent 5 and persistent storage 7. In this illustrative embodiment, the non-persistent storage is random access memory (RAM) and the persistent storage is a hard disk drive (HDD) or flash memory.

The processor is also provided with a number of different inputs allowing the user to interface with the processor. In this illustrative embodiment, a microphone 29, an auxiliary input 25 (for input 33), a USB input 23, a GPS input 24 and a BLUETOOTH input 15 are all provided. An input selector 51 is also provided, to allow a user to swap between various inputs. Input to both the microphone and the auxiliary connector is converted from analog to digital by a converter 27 before being passed to the processor. Although not shown, numerous of the vehicle components and auxiliary components in communication with the VCS may use a vehicle network (such as, but not limited to, a CAN bus) to pass data to and from the VCS (or components thereof).

Outputs to the system can include, but are not limited to, a visual display 4 and a speaker 13 or stereo system output. The speaker is connected to an amplifier 11 and receives its signal from the processor 3 through a digital-to-analog converter 9. Output can also be made to a remote BLUETOOTH device such as PND 54 or a USB device such as vehicle navigation device 60 along the bi-directional data streams shown at 19 and 21 respectively.

In one illustrative embodiment, the system 1 uses the BLUETOOTH transceiver 15 to communicate 17 with a user's nomadic device 53 (e.g., cell phone, smart phone, PDA, or any other device having wireless remote network connectivity). The nomadic device can then be used to communicate 59 with a network 61 outside the vehicle 31 through, for example, communication 55 with a cellular tower 57. In some embodiments, tower 57 may be a WiFi access point.

Exemplary communication between the nomadic device and the BLUETOOTH transceiver is represented by signal 14.

Pairing a nomadic device 53 and the BLUETOOTH transceiver 15 can be instructed through a button 52 or similar input. Accordingly, the CPU is instructed that the onboard BLUETOOTH transceiver will be paired with a BLUETOOTH transceiver in a nomadic device.

Data may be communicated between CPU 3 and network 61 utilizing, for example, a data-plan, data over voice, or DTMF tones associated with nomadic device 53. Alternatively, it may be desirable to include an onboard modem 63 having antenna 18 in order to communicate 16 data between CPU 3 and network 61 over the voice band. The nomadic device 53 can then be used to communicate 59 with a network 61 outside the vehicle 31 through, for example, communication 55 with a cellular tower 57. In some embodiments, the modem 63 may establish communication 20 with the tower 57 for communicating with network 61. As a non-limiting example, modem 63 may be a USB cellular modem and communication 20 may be cellular communication.

In one illustrative embodiment, the processor is provided with an operating system including an API to communicate with modem application software. The modem application

software may access an embedded module or firmware on the BLUETOOTH transceiver to complete wireless communication with a remote BLUETOOTH transceiver (such as that found in a nomadic device). Bluetooth is a subset of the IEEE 802 PAN (personal area network) protocols. IEEE 802 LAN (local area network) protocols include WiFi and have considerable cross-functionality with IEEE 802 PAN. Both are suitable for wireless communication within a vehicle. Another communication means that can be used in this realm is free-space optical communication (such as IrDA) and non-standardized consumer IR protocols.

In another embodiment, nomadic device 53 includes a modem for voice band or broadband data communication. In the data-over-voice embodiment, a technique known as frequency division multiplexing may be implemented when the owner of the nomadic device can talk over the device while data is being transferred. At other times, when the owner is not using the device, the data transfer can use the whole bandwidth (300 Hz to 3.4 kHz in one example). While frequency division multiplexing may be common for analog cellular communication between the vehicle and the internet, and is still used, it has been largely replaced by hybrids of with Code Domain Multiple Access (CDMA), Time Domain Multiple Access (TDMA), Space-Domain Multiple Access (SDMA) for digital cellular communication. These are all ITU IMT-2000 (3G) compliant standards and offer data rates up to 2 mbs for stationary or walking users and 385 kbs for users in a moving vehicle. 3G standards are now being replaced by IMT-Advanced (4G) which offers 100 mbs for users in a vehicle and 1 gbs for stationary users. If the user has a data-plan associated with the nomadic device, it is possible that the data-plan allows for broad-band transmission and the system could use a much wider bandwidth (speeding up data transfer). In still another embodiment, nomadic device 53 is replaced with a cellular communication device (not shown) that is installed to vehicle 31. In yet another embodiment, the ND 53 may be a wireless local area network (LAN) device capable of communication over, for example (and without limitation), an 802.11g network (i.e., WiFi) or a WiMax network.

In one embodiment, incoming data can be passed through the nomadic device via a data-over-voice or data-plan, through the onboard BLUETOOTH transceiver and into the vehicle's internal processor 3. In the case of certain temporary data, for example, the data can be stored on the HDD or other storage media 7 until such time as the data is no longer needed.

Additional sources that may interface with the vehicle include a personal navigation device 54, having, for example, a USB connection 56 and/or an antenna 58, a vehicle navigation device 60 having a USB 62 or other connection, an onboard GPS device 24, or remote navigation system (not shown) having connectivity to network 61. USB is one of a class of serial networking protocols. IEEE 1394 (firewire), EIA (Electronics Industry Association) serial protocols, IEEE 1284 (Centronics Port), S/PDIF (Sony/Philips Digital Interconnect Format) and USB-IF (USB Implementers Forum) form the backbone of the device-device serial standards. Most of the protocols can be implemented for either electrical or optical communication.

Further, the CPU could be in communication with a variety of other auxiliary devices 65. These devices can be connected through a wireless 67 or wired 69 connection. Auxiliary device 65 may include, but are not limited to, personal media players, wireless health devices, portable computers, and the like.

5

Also, or alternatively, the CPU could be connected to a vehicle based wireless router **73**, using for example a WiFi **71** transceiver. This could allow the CPU to connect to remote networks in range of the local router **73**.

In addition to having exemplary processes executed by a vehicle computing system located in a vehicle, in certain embodiments, the exemplary processes may be executed by a computing system in communication with a vehicle computing system. Such a system may include, but is not limited to, a wireless device (e.g., and without limitation, a mobile phone) or a remote computing system (e.g., and without limitation, a server) connected through the wireless device. Collectively, such systems may be referred to as vehicle associated computing systems (VACS). In certain embodiments particular components of the VACS may perform particular portions of a process depending on the particular implementation of the system. By way of example and not limitation, if a process has a step of sending or receiving information with a paired wireless device, then it is likely that the wireless device is not performing the process, since the wireless device would not “send and receive” information with itself. One of ordinary skill in the art will understand when it is inappropriate to apply a particular VACS to a given solution. In all solutions, it is contemplated that at least the vehicle computing system (VCS) located within the vehicle itself is capable of performing the exemplary processes.

In the illustrative embodiments, a vehicle component, such as, but not limited to, a vehicle computing system and/or vehicle infotainment system contains at least one module installed thereon that is capable of securing the module. Since vehicles have unique identification numbers, known as VINs, the module can be keyed to allow the system to operate only when installed in a vehicle whose VIN the module recognizes.

Thus, if the module is stolen and placed in a new vehicle, the module will not recognize the VIN, and will lock out the infotainment system. This should deter the theft of the systems, as they will only work in vehicles for which they were intended.

Of course, it is possible that the system will be permissibly removed, or recovered from an otherwise totaled vehicle and placed in a new vehicle with the permission of the system owner. In such an instance, the module may place the system in lockout mode. When the system is in lockout mode, however, an authorized service provider can be equipped with the capability to cause the system to begin normal operation, and re-pair itself with a new vehicle. In this manner, a system may not be rendered permanently inoperable if properly moved between vehicles.

FIG. 2 shows an illustrative example of a verification process. In this illustrative embodiment, at some point after the vehicle has been activated, such as in the case of a key-on event, the infotainment system will power up. This may cause the protection module (or similar software routine) to activate **201**.

Once the module has been activated, it may first check to see if the system has already entered a lock-down state **203**. An indicator that cannot be tampered with may have been set if a previous lock-down was engaged, and the module may be capable of detecting that the system is already in a state of lock-down **203**. If this is the case, a screen may be displayed (or an audio output may be engaged) **205** to notify the vehicle owner that the system is currently locked and in very limited functionality mode. This display can include, but is not limited to, lock-out of non-critical functions, play-back on ignition cycle of a message that the module is not genuine, etc.

6

In at least one instance, the functionality is limited to the output of the lockdown message and the ability to communicate with a dealer system to disengage the locking mechanism. In at least one alternative embodiment, the original owner of the system, or a new authorized owner, may be given the ability to unlock the system through the input of a password, or through the uploading of software provided in conjunction with a request from the manufacturer. The user seeking to unlock the system may be required to provide some form of verification before an unlock capability is provided.

If the system is not currently in a lock-down mode, the module may access a vehicle network, such as, but not limited to, a CAN bus **207**. Information about the vehicle, including, but not limited to, electronic VIN identification numbers, can be obtained over the CAN bus. Accessing the vehicle bus can give the module the ability to obtain a vehicle VIN **209**.

If a VIN is not present **211**, the module may persist in the attempts to discover the VIN. Due to a system error, the VIN may not be available (temporarily or permanently) and the vehicle manufacturer may have to determine whether a no-VIN state should result in system lockout or system accessibility. An alternative message, such as a VIN-error message, may be output to inform the user that a visit to a dealer may be required to repair the VIN-error. In at least one case, the module may allow access to the system for a limited number of times if an error occurs, before entering lockout mode. This will give an authorized user an opportunity to use the system while traveling to a dealer to have the issue repaired.

In another instance, the user may be able to input a temporary authorization code for the particular system. This can be obtained, for example, from a manufacturer or dealer. The temporary code can provide limited use of the system before lockout is entered, again giving the user time to get the vehicle to a scheduled dealer appointment. Also, with the case of a temporary authorization code obtained through provision of user credentials, the user can be assured that a thief is not simply using a stolen code to authorize the use of the system. To prevent exploitation of such a system, input of temporary codes may be limited to one or a few instances before lockout mode is entered.

If the VIN is detectable over the vehicle network **211**, the module may then determine if the detected VIN is the correct VIN **213**. In at least one instance, the module/system is paired to a vehicle's VIN upon completion of manufacture of the vehicle or at some point during the manufacturing process. It may be desirable to perform the pairing near the end of the process so that it is ensured that the vehicle has passed any quality control checks, but pairing can be done earlier if desired.

Once the module/system has been paired to a VIN, it is designed to only operate in conjunction with a vehicle having the same VIN number to which it is paired. This prevents stolen modules from operating in alternative vehicles. Modules/systems may also have an alternative option where they operate in conjunction with secondary VINs, such as testing VINs or alternative VINs, but in the example discussed here the module is designed to operate with a single VIN.

If the VIN is the correct VIN, the module allows the driver to access the system as usual **217**. Otherwise, the module may place the system in a lock-down mode **215**. As previously noted, the lockdown mode, in at least one embodiment, may only be removed through the aid of an authorized service provider. Even if a customer inadvertently purchased a stolen module, they would be prohibited from using it, and a trip to the dealer to rectify the problem would then result in recovery of the stolen module.

FIG. 3 shows an illustrative example of an unlock process. In this illustrative embodiment, the module has detected that it is in a locked state **301**, and is in the process of prohibiting system access while outputting a lockout message **303**. The limited system functionality may also include a list of authorized repair technicians in the area of the vehicle, and an ability to contact or direct the driver to one of the local repair technicians. This may aid in the case of inadvertent lock-out, in that the driver can still easily reach a repair technician to have the module/system unlocked.

Once the driver has reached an authorized repair location, such as a dealer or an authorized mechanic, a service tool may be connected to the vehicle through, for example, an ODB port or USB port. In at least one instance, the module is signed with an electronic serial number (ESN), providing a module specific identification serial number.

The technician, through a connected diagnostic tool or other backend system, may request the generation of a signed unlock application signed specifically to be recognized by the particular module installed in the vehicle being serviced. In other words, the application can only be used by a particular vehicle (in this instance) and cannot be used to unlock a plurality of vehicles if stolen from the dealer.

The backend system will generate a signed unlock application and provide it to the technician for installation on the vehicle. The module receives the unlock request from the service tool **307**, and verifies the signature of the unlock application to be installed **309**. This can be done, for example, by comparing the ESN associated with the module to the ESN associated with the unlock application.

If the unlock application has been verified as being suitable for that particular vehicle, this is presumably sufficient, in this case, to identify the provider of the application as being authorized to unlock the module/system. Other security protocol can be implemented as needed.

The unlock application is then installed/executed by the module **311**, and the module is placed in an unlocked state. As part of unlocking the module, the module is unpaired from the VIN **313**, so that the module does not immediately re-lock the system upon wake-up. The module then, having no paired VIN currently associated therewith, is free to re-pair itself with the VIN of the vehicle on which it is installed **315**.

FIG. 4 shows an illustrative example of VIN pairing process. This is a process that may occur several times during the life of a system, including, but not limited to, upon manufacturing completion, upon authorized sale of the system, upon recovery of the system from a damaged vehicle, etc.

Once the vehicle has been powered, the module may be enabled **401** and determine if a VIN is present and paired with the module currently **403**. If a VIN is present, the module may then proceed with a next authorization step **203**.

If a VIN pairing is not present, however, the module may determine if the system is in a suitable state to pair with a new VIN. Since the module may be started several times during manufacturing, while the VCS is still being provisioned, it may be desirable to determine if the system is in a provisioning mode before VIN-pairing is attempted **405**. This should help prevent inadvertent pairing of a module/system that may be moved to a new vehicle before leaving the factory, and should help prevent an attempt to pair the system in a state when a VIN may not be accessible on a system bus.

If the system is not in provisioning mode **405**, the module may further ensure that the system has entered an infotainment mode **407**. This indicates that the system is operating in a standard end-user mode, and is not in some form of diagnostic or other mode during which pairing may not be desired.

If all desired criteria (which may include criteria other than those listed here) are met, the module will access a vehicle bus or other information source from which it can obtain the vehicle's VIN **409**. The module may then seek out the VIN as electronic information **411**.

If the VIN is not found **413**, the module may persist in seeking the VIN until such time as a VIN is available. Once the VIN has been found, the module may pair with the VIN and enter an operational mode, for use with that VIN only. At this point, any attempt to place the system in a new vehicle would result in lockout of the system, until such time as the module was instructed to seek out a new VIN and was unlocked by an authorized party.

FIG. 5 shows an illustrative example of a VIN recording process. In at least one illustrative example, VINs with which the module is paired are recorded with respect to a history source, so that reporting of paired VINs can be obtained at a future time. This can help provide a history of what vehicles the module has been paired with, and may further provide information about any unauthorized unlocking of the system. Historical information can also be used to determine which vehicle a module is supposed to be paired with, in the event that a stolen system is recovered.

In at least one embodiment, ten VINs are stored as a maximum, although this can be adjusted as per a manufacturer's desire. Once a new VIN has been detected for pairing **413**, the module may check an existing history to determine what VINs have previously been paired with this system **501**. The history may be part of a special record that exists on the module and survives module reflashes, preventing attempts to clear a history.

If the history is full **503**, the process may proceed to pairing with the current VIN **415**. Although the new VIN may not be recorded in the history file, it can still be accessible through reporting from a memory location storing the identity of the currently paired VIN. Additionally, the process may check to see if the VIN of the current vehicle is already stored in the history list **505**.

If, for example, a module malfunction caused the system to lock, then there may be no reason to re-save the VIN number upon unlock and re-pairing. In this embodiment, only new, unstored VIN numbers will be saved during the pairing process.

If room remains in the history file, and the VIN is not already present in the file, the module may save the VIN number in the file **507** and then proceed with pairing **415**.

In at least one instance, certain aspects of the module may be saved in a device parameter store (DPS). DPS is a special flash area that survives image reflashes of the module, and maintains its values. This may be helpful in preventing a savvy thief from thwarting the module control by attempting to reflash the module. Since the VIN and any lock-state will be saved in the DPS, a reflashed module will still use these variables to determine functionality of the system, and improper usage of the system will still be prevented.

In certain instances, such a system can be included but disabled during production. Only if the system is enabled post-production will it go into effect, otherwise the relevant modules may lay dormant. In at least one embodiment, additional vehicle firmware/software modules may be disabled by this system as well.

While exemplary embodiments are described above, it is not intended that these embodiments describe all possible forms of the invention. Rather, the words used in the specification are words of description rather than limitation, and it is understood that various changes may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Additionally,

9

the features of various implementing embodiments may be combined to form further embodiments of the invention.

What is claimed is:

1. A computer-implemented method comprising:
 - determining, by a processor, that a requested-upload corresponds to a locked vehicle infotainment system (VIS) unlocking procedure;
 - verifying, by the processor, requested-upload authenticity;
 - activating, by the processor, the requested-upload to unlock the VIS;
 - responsive to the unlocking, deleting, by the processor, a vehicle identification number (VIN) associated with the locked VIS;
 - obtaining, by the processor, a new VIN, from a vehicle containing the VIS, for VIS association; and
 - saving, by the processor, the new VIN as a VIN which must be detected upon startup to prevent VIS relocking.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the verifying includes verifying an electronic serial number (ESN) associated with the requested-upload.
3. The method of claim 2, wherein the verifying includes ensuring that the ESN of the requested-upload is the same as an ESN associated with the locked VIS.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the VIN is obtained from a vehicle bus.
5. A system comprising:
 - a processor configured to:
 - determine a requested-upload corresponds to a locked vehicle infotainment system (VIS) unlocking procedure;
 - verify requested-upload authenticity;
 - activate requested-upload to unlock the VIS;
 - responsive to the unlocking, delete a vehicle identification number (VIN) associated with the locked VIS;

10

obtain a new VIN, from a vehicle containing the VIS, for VIS association; and
 save the new VIN as a VIN which must be detected upon startup to prevent VIS relocking.

6. The system of claim 5, wherein the verifying includes verifying an electronic serial number (ESN) associated with the requested-upload.

7. The system of claim 6, wherein the processor is configured to ensure that the ESN of the requested-upload is the same as an ESN associated with the locked VIS.

8. The system of claim 5, wherein the VIN is obtained from a vehicle bus.

9. A non-transitory, computer readable storage medium, storing instructions, that, when executed by a processor, cause the processor to perform a method comprising:

determining that a requested-upload corresponds to a locked vehicle infotainment system (VIS) unlocking procedure;

verifying requested-upload authenticity;

activating the requested-upload to unlock the VIS;

responsive to the unlocking, deleting a vehicle identification number (VIN) associated with the locked VIS;

obtaining a new VIN, from a vehicle containing the VIS, for VIS association; and

saving the new VIN as a VIN which must be detected upon startup to prevent VIS relocking.

10. The storage medium of claim 9, wherein the verifying includes verifying an electronic serial number (ESN) associated with the requested-upload.

11. The storage medium of claim 10, wherein the verifying includes ensuring that the ESN of the requested-upload is the same as an ESN associated with the locked VIS.

12. The storage medium of claim 9, wherein the VIN is obtained from a vehicle bus.

* * * * *